

ON IMPRIMITIVE RANK 3 PERMUTATION GROUPS

ALICE DEVILLERS, MICHAEL GIUDICI, CAI HENG LI, GEOFFREY PEARCE AND
CHERYL E. PRAEGER

ABSTRACT. A classification is given of rank 3 group actions which are quasiprimitive but not primitive. There are two infinite families and a finite number of individual imprimitive examples. When combined with earlier work of Bannai, Kantor, Liebler, Liebeck and Saxl, this yields a classification of all quasiprimitive rank 3 permutation groups. Our classification is achieved by first classifying imprimitive almost simple permutation groups which induce a 2-transitive action on a block system and for which a block stabiliser acts 2-transitively on the block. We also determine those imprimitive rank 3 permutation groups G such that the induced action on a block is almost simple and G does not contain the full socle of the natural wreath product in which G embeds.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of rank 3 permutation groups, that is, those with exactly 3 orbits on ordered pairs of points goes back to the paper [17] of Donald Higman. Rank 3 groups can be either primitive or imprimitive; however only the primitive rank 3 groups have so far been fully classified (in [2],[18],[20], and [21]). The major aim of this paper is to extend this classification to include all quasiprimitive rank 3 permutation groups (see Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4). A variety of structures preserved by rank 3 groups have been studied. Those admitting primitive rank 3 groups include symmetric designs [8, 9, 10], partial linear spaces [11, 12], and transitive graph decompositions [1], while those admitting imprimitive rank 3 groups as an automorphism group include Latin square designs [13] (equivalent to partial linear spaces with 3 blocks of imprimitivity) and transitive graph decompositions [24] (for which Theorem 1.2 below is required). As well as contributing to the classification of imprimitive rank 3 permutation groups, this paper answers a question in [14] about complete multipartite graphs (see Remark 1.6), and identifies and fills a gap in the proof in [16] of the classification of antipodal distance transitive covers of complete graphs (see Remark 1.7).

Let G be a transitive imprimitive permutation group acting on a set Ω , and suppose that G preserves a non-trivial block-system $\mathcal{B}$ on Ω . By the ‘Embedding Theorem’ for imprimitive groups [3] we may choose a block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and identify Ω with the set $B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ where $n = |\mathcal{B}|$, and then view G as a subgroup of the wreath product $H \wr X$ where $G^B \cong X \leq S_n$ and $H := G_B^B$, the *component* of G . The partition $\mathcal{B}$ can be identified with $\{B \times \{i\} | i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$.

In this paper we are interested in such groups satisfying the following hypothesis.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20B05.

The research for this paper was supported by Australian Research Council Discovery Grant DP0770915 and Federation Fellowship Grant FF0776186. A large portion of Section 4 forms part of the PhD thesis of the fourth author, which was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award.

Hypothesis 1.1. *Let $H \leq \text{Sym}(B)$ and $X \leq S_n$, and let G be a subgroup of $H \wr X$ acting imprimitively on $\Omega = B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, such that G projects onto X , and H is the component of G . Assume that H and X are both 2-transitive.*

As we show in Lemma 3.1, all imprimitive groups G with a rank 3 action on Ω satisfy Hypothesis 1.1. In this paper, we describe groups G satisfying Hypothesis 1.1 in terms of the subgroup $G \cap H^n$; that is, the kernel of the G -action on $\mathcal{B}$. Analysing the groups in this way gives a characterisation in which the groups are either very ‘large’, or ‘small’ and can be described in great detail.

Burnside [5, Section 154] showed that a 2-transitive permutation group H has a unique minimal normal subgroup T which is either a non-abelian simple group, in which case H is called *almost simple*; or elementary abelian, in which case H is called *affine*. Let G and H be as in Hypothesis 1.1 and let T be the unique minimal normal subgroup of H . If G has trivial intersection with H^n (so that G acts faithfully on $\mathcal{B}$) we say that G is *block-faithful with respect to $\mathcal{B}$* . If we assume that G has rank 3, then Lemma 3.3 below implies that $\mathcal{B}$ is unique, so in that case we say that G is *block-faithful* if it is block-faithful with respect to that unique block-system. Moreover, Corollary 3.5 implies that G is *quasiprimitive* on Ω , that is, all nontrivial normal subgroups of G are transitive on Ω .

Our first theorem gives a characterisation of rank 3 groups when T is a non-abelian simple group.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 and that $T := \text{soc}(H)$ is a non-abelian simple group. Then G has rank 3 on Ω if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (1) $T^n \leq G$,
- (2) G is quasiprimitive and rank 3 on Ω ,
- (3) $n = 2$ and $G = M_{10}, \text{PGL}(2, 9)$ or $\text{Aut}(A_6)$ acting on 12 points, or
- (4) $n = 2$ and $G = \text{Aut}(M_{12})$ acting on 24 points.

Next we classify all quasiprimitive rank 3 permutation groups that are imprimitive.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a transitive imprimitive permutation group of rank 3 acting on a set Ω . Let n be the number of blocks and m be the size of the blocks. Then G is quasiprimitive if and only if G , n , m and G_B^B are as in one of the lines of Table 1.*

In conjunction with [2, 18, 20, 21], Theorem 1.3 gives a classification of all quasiprimitive rank 3 groups.

Corollary 1.4. *All quasiprimitive permutation groups of rank 3 are known. They are either primitive (and classified in [2, 18, 20, 21]) or imprimitive and almost simple (and classified in Theorem 1.3).*

After Theorems 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, the remaining case for a complete classification of the imprimitive rank 3 permutation groups is where H is a 2-transitive affine group. A discussion of this case is given in Section 4.1.

As a tool to prove Theorem 1.3, we also classify all almost simple block-faithful groups G satisfying Hypothesis 1.1. We restrict ourselves to almost simple groups as Corollary 3.4 implies that a block-faithful rank 3 group is almost simple.

Theorem 1.5. *A group G which satisfies Hypothesis 1.1, is almost simple and block-faithful with respect to $\mathcal{B}$, if and only if G , n , $|B|$ are as in one of the lines of Tables 2 or 3.*

Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 are proved in Section 5. The tables for these theorems are given in Section 2. The proofs of our three theorems rely on the classification of almost simple 2-transitive groups, and hence on the Classification of Finite Simple Groups.

Remark 1.6. The paper [14] studies graphs Γ admitting a group G of automorphisms that is transitive on the sets Γ_i of vertex pairs at distance i , for $i \leq s$. The question (Question 4.2 of [14]) arose as to which complete multipartite graphs $\Gamma = K_{n[m]}$ (with $n \geq 3$) admit such a group G for $s = 2$, with G quasiprimitive on vertices. For such (n, m, G) , the graph $K_{n[m]}$ admits no “normal quotient reduction”. Since $s = 2$ and $K_{n[m]}$ has diameter 2, G must have rank 3, and so Theorem 1.3 gives a list of all possibilities for (n, m, G) , completely answering this question.

Remark 1.7. Theorem 1.5, listing the almost simple 2-transitive groups G on n points for which a stabiliser has a not necessarily faithful 2-transitive action on m points, is a generalisation of Proposition 2.12 of [16] and reveals a missing case in that result, namely the results table for [16, Proposition 2.12] omits the case where G has socle $\text{PSL}(3, 4)$ on $n = 21$ points and a stabiliser induces a 2-transitive $\text{PSL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{PGL}(2, 5)$ of degree 6. The result in [16] for which the Proposition 2.12 is applied is the classification [16, Main Theorem] of antipodal distance transitive covers of complete graphs. This missing case in the proposition does not lead to any additional examples in the graph classification. This can be seen as follows. For a 6-fold distance transitive cover of $\mathbf{K}_{21}$ the distance transitive group must act faithfully and 2-transitively on the antipodal partition (see the beginning of Section 5 of [16]) and also the stabiliser order is divisible by the number $20 \times 5 = 100$ of vertices at distance 2 from a given vertex. Since $|\text{Aut}(\text{PSL}(3, 4))|$ is not divisible by 25 there is no distance transitive group inducing a 2-transitive group with socle $\text{PSL}(3, 4)$ on the antipodal partition.

In Section 3 we investigate in detail the structure of imprimitive rank 3 permutation groups, leading to a proof in Section 4 of Theorem 1.2. Then in Section 5 we work towards proofs of Theorems 1.5 and then 1.3.

2. TABLES FOR THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.5

Tables 2 and 3 are organised according to the classification of almost simple 2-transitive groups given in Theorem 5.1. Moreover note the following facts:

- (a) The last two columns of Table 2 are given because that information is needed for the proof of Theorem 1.3.
- (b) On Lines 17 and 18 of 2, for $n = 7$ there are two representations of A_6 and S_6 on 6 points.
- (c) On Line 13 of Table 3, there are two 2-transitive representations of G_B when $a \geq 4$.
- (d) On Lines 6, 9, and 11 of Table 3, there are up to three 2-transitive representations of G_B .

G	n	m	G_B^B	extra conditions
M_{11}	11	2	C_2	G satisfies the conditions explained in Proposition 5.13 $a \geq 3$ and G satisfies the conditions on Line 12 of Table 3, and $(md, a) = d$
$G \geq \text{PSL}(2, q)$	$q + 1$	2	C_2	
$G \geq \text{PSL}(a, q)$	$\frac{q^a - 1}{q - 1}$	m	$\text{AGL}(1, m)$	
$\text{PGL}(3, 4)$	21	6	$\text{PSL}(2, 5)$	
$\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 4)$	21	6	$\text{PGL}(2, 5)$	
$\text{PSL}(3, 5)$	31	5	S_5	
$\text{PSL}(5, 2)$	31	8	A_8	
$\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 8)$	73	28	$\text{Ree}(3)$	
$\text{PSL}(3, 2)$	7	2	C_2	
$\text{PSL}(3, 3)$	13	3	S_3	

TABLE 1. Quasiprimitive imprimitive rank 3 groups

Line	G	n	$ B $	G_B	$G_{B, B'}$
1	A_7	15	8, 7	$\text{PSL}(2, 7)$	A_4
2	$\text{PSL}(2, 11)$	11	6, 5	A_5	S_3
3	$\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 8)$	28	2, 3	$C_9 \rtimes C_6$	C_2
4	HS	176	126	$\text{P}\Sigma\text{U}(3, 5)$	$\text{Aut}(A_6)$
5			2		
6	Co_3	276	2	$McL \rtimes C_2$	$\text{PSU}(4, 3) \rtimes C_2$
7	M_{11}	11	10	M_{10}	$C_3^2 \rtimes Q_8$
8			2		
9	M_{11}	12	11, 12	$\text{PSL}(2, 11)$	A_5
10	M_{12}	12	11	M_{11}	M_{10}
11			12		
12	M_{22}	22	21	$\text{PSL}(3, 4)$	$C_2^4 \rtimes A_5$
13	$M_{22} \rtimes C_2$	22	21	$\text{P}\Sigma\text{L}(3, 4)$	$C_2^4 \rtimes S_5$
14			2		
15	M_{23}	23	22	M_{22}	$\text{PSL}(3, 4)$
16	M_{24}	24	23	M_{23}	M_{22}
17	A_n	n	$n - 1$	A_{n-1}	A_{n-2}
18	S_n	n	$n - 1$	S_{n-1}	S_{n-2}
19	S_n	n	2	S_{n-1}	S_{n-2}
20	S_5	5	3	S_4	S_3
21	A_6	6	6	A_5	A_4
22	S_6	6	6	S_5	S_4
23	A_7	7	10	A_6	A_5
24	S_7	7	10	S_6	S_5
25	A_8	8	15	A_7	A_6
26	A_9	9	15	A_8	A_7
27	$\text{PSp}(2\ell, 2)$	$2^{2\ell-1} - 2^{\ell-1}$	2	$\text{PSO}^-(2\ell, 2)$	$C_2^{2\ell-2} \rtimes \text{PSO}^-(2\ell - 2, 2)$
28	$\text{PSp}(2\ell, 2)$	$2^{2\ell-1} + 2^{\ell-1}$	2	$\text{PSO}^+(2\ell, 2)$	$C_2^{2\ell-2} \rtimes \text{PSO}^+(2\ell - 2, 2)$
29	$\text{PSp}(6, 2)$	36	8	$\text{PSO}^+(6, 2) \cong S_8$	$C_2^4 \rtimes \text{PSO}^+(4, 2)$

TABLE 2. Examples from groups in (a) to (d) of Theorem 5.1

Line	G	n	$ B $	extra conditions
1	$\supseteq \text{Sz}(q)$	$q^2 + 1$	q	
2	$\supseteq \text{Ree}(q)$	$q^3 + 1$	q	
3			2	
4	$\supseteq \text{PSU}(3, q)$	$q^3 + 1$	q^2	$G \cap \langle \tau, \sigma \rangle \leq \Gamma\text{L}(1, q^2)$ transitive on $\text{GF}(q^2)^*$ (see Rem. 5.10)
5			m	$m \geq 3$ prime, $m (q^2 - 1)$ and $\text{ord}(p \bmod m) = m - 1$
6			2	q is odd or $ G / (G \cap \text{PGU}(3, q)) $ is even
7	$\supseteq \text{PSL}(2, q)$	$q + 1$	q	G is 3-transitive
8			m	$m \geq 3$ prime, $m (q - 1)$ and $\text{ord}(p \bmod m) = m - 1$
9			2	$q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, or $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $G \geq \text{PGL}(2, q)$, or $ G / (G \cap \text{PGL}(2, q)) $ is even
10	$\supseteq \text{PSL}(a, q)$	$\frac{q^a - 1}{q - 1}$	q^{a-1}	$ G / (G \cap \text{PGL}(a, q)) $ is even m prime, $\text{ord}(p^i \bmod m) = m - 1$, $dm (q - 1)$, $dm (r + \lambda d) \frac{q-1}{p^i-1}$ for some $\lambda \in [0, m - 1]$, where i, r, d are as in Remark 5.14
11			2	
12			m	
13			$\frac{q^{a-1}-1}{q-1}$	
14	$\supseteq \text{PSL}(3, 4)$	21	6	
15	$\text{PSL}(3, 5)$	31	5	
16	$\text{PSL}(5, 2)$	31	8	
17	$\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 8)$	73	28	
18	$\text{PSL}(4, 2)$	15	8	
19	$\text{PSL}(3, 2)$	7	2	
20	$\text{PSL}(3, 3)$	13	3	

TABLE 3. Examples from groups in (e) to (h) of Theorem 5.1

3. IMPRIMITIVE RANK 3 GROUPS

In this section we investigate rank 3 subgroups G of the wreath product $S_m \wr S_n$, acting imprimitively of degree mn . We identify Ω with $B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the system of imprimitivity with $\mathcal{B} := \{B \times \{i\} | i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, with each set $B \times \{i\}$ denoted by B_i . First, we show that such groups satisfy Hypothesis 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Let B be a set and suppose that $G \leq \text{Sym}(B) \wr S_n$ is transitive of rank 3 on $B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then both G_B^B and the projection X of G to S_n are 2-transitive. In particular, G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 with $H := G_B^B$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in B$. Then $G_{(\alpha, 1)}$ has exactly 2 orbits on the remaining points of $B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $B_1 = B \times \{1\}$ is a block for G , any orbit intersecting non-trivially with $B_1 \setminus \{(\alpha, 1)\}$ must be fully contained in B_1 , and it follows that one orbit is $B_1 \setminus \{(\alpha, 1)\}$ while the other is $B \times \{2, \dots, n\}$. This implies that $(G_B^B)_\alpha$ is transitive on $B \setminus \{\alpha\}$, and $(G^B)_1$ is transitive on $\{2, \dots, n\}$; and so both G_B^B and G^B are 2-transitive. (Note that this holds for $n = 2$ or $|B| = 2$ provided that the group S_2 is considered to be 2-transitive.) $\square$

Next we give a partial converse. A group G in Hypothesis 1.1 is called *full* if G contains T^n , where T is the unique minimal normal subgroup of H .

Lemma 3.2. *Let B be a set. Let $H \leq \text{Sym}(B)$ and let $X \leq S_n$ for some n , and assume that both H and X are 2-transitive.*

- (i) *The wreath product $H \wr X$ has rank 3 in its imprimitive action on $B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$.*
- (ii) *If $G \leq H \wr X$ has as component H , G projects onto X , and G is full, then G has rank 3 on $B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. Part (i): Let $(\alpha, 1)$ be a point in B_1 . The stabiliser of $(\alpha, 1)$ in $H \wr X$ induces H_α on B_1 and $H \wr X_1^{\{2, \dots, n\}}$ on $B \times \{2, \dots, n\}$. Since H is 2-transitive, H_α is transitive on $B_1 \setminus \{(\alpha, 1)\}$; and since H is transitive and X is 2-transitive, $H \wr X_1^{\{2, \dots, n\}}$ is transitive on $B \times \{2, \dots, n\}$. Thus, including the set $\{(\alpha, 1)\}$, there are 3 orbits of $(H \wr X)_{(\alpha, 1)}$ on $B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus $H \wr X$ has rank 3.

Part (ii): Again, the stabiliser of $(\alpha, 1)$ in G induces H_α on B_1 , and H_α is transitive on $B_1 \setminus \{(\alpha, 1)\}$. Let $T = \text{soc}(H)$, so $T^n \leq G$. Then since H is 2-transitive and therefore primitive, T is transitive on B . Now $G_{(\alpha, 1)}$ contains $1 \times T^{n-1}$, so $G_{(\alpha, 1)} \cap T^n$ is transitive on each of the remaining blocks $B \times \{i\}$. Furthermore $G_{(\alpha, 1)}$ projects onto X_1 which is transitive on $\{2, \dots, n\}$; hence $G_{(\alpha, 1)}$ is transitive on $B \times \{2, \dots, n\}$. Thus $G_{(\alpha, 1)}$ has 3 orbits on $B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, and so G has rank 3. $\square$

The following Lemma is a corollary on page 147 of [17].

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that G is transitive but imprimitive on Ω and has rank 3. Then G admits a unique nontrivial system of imprimitivity.*

It follows that, when G has rank 3 and is block-faithful with respect to $\mathcal{B}$, we can omit specifying $\mathcal{B}$ and just say that G is block-faithful.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that G is transitive but imprimitive with block system $\mathcal{B}$, and that G has rank 3 and is block-faithful. Then $G \cong G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is almost simple.*

Proof. The fact that $G \cong G^{\mathcal{B}}$ follows from the fact that G acts faithfully on the set $\mathcal{B}$ of blocks. We know by Lemma 3.1 that $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is 2-transitive; suppose it is of affine type. Then $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ has an abelian minimal normal subgroup N which acts regularly on $\mathcal{B}$. The N -orbits on Ω form a partition $\mathcal{C}$ of Ω with the property that $|B \cap C| = 1$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence $\mathcal{C}$ is a nontrivial system of imprimitivity different from $\mathcal{B}$, contradicting Lemma 3.3. So $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is not affine, and therefore (by [5, Section 154]) $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is almost simple. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a transitive imprimitive permutation group of rank 3 acting on a set Ω . Then G is block-faithful if and only if G is quasiprimitive.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nontrivial system of imprimitivity for G , and note that $\mathcal{B}$ is unique by Lemma 3.3. Since the orbits of a nontrivial normal subgroup N form a system of imprimitivity of G , which must be $\mathcal{B}$ or $\{\Omega\}$, and since N is contained in the kernel of the action of G on $\mathcal{B}$ in the former case, the result follows. $\square$

Note that if G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 then G is not necessarily of rank 3. For example, the subgroup $H \times X$ of the group $H \wr X$ has rank 4. More precisely, the rank is at most $|B| + 2$. This prompts the question: Given a wreath product $H \wr X$ acting imprimitively, where H and X are both 2-transitive, which subgroups $G \leq H \wr X$ with $G_B^{\mathcal{B}} = H$ and $G^{\mathcal{B}} = X$ are such that G has rank 3? We tackle this difficult problem in the next section.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.

We prove Theorem 1.2 with a series of smaller results. Lemmas 4.1 to 4.3 give some general structural information about imprimitive rank 3 groups; and then Lemmas 4.4 to 4.6 deal with those groups that have an almost simple component, as in Theorem 1.2.

In the following results we use π_i to denote the projection map $H^n \rightarrow H : (h_1, \dots, h_n) \mapsto h_i$. A *subdirect subgroup* of a direct product H^n is a subgroup D such that $\pi_i(D) = H$ for all i , while D is also a *full diagonal subgroup* of H^n if $D \cong H$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $G \leq H \wr X$ where G projects onto X , and where H is the component of G . Assume that X is transitive, and let $\hat{G}$ denote the subgroup $G \cap H^n$ of G . Then $\hat{G}$ is a subdirect subgroup of L^n where $L \trianglelefteq H$; that is, $\pi_i(\hat{G}) = L$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. The group $\hat{G}$ is normal in G since it is the kernel of the homomorphism from G onto X . For each i , write $L_i := \pi_i(\hat{G})$; so $\hat{G}$ is a subdirect subgroup of $L_1 \times \dots \times L_n$. Moreover, as X is transitive and H is the component of G , L_i is conjugate in H to L_1 .

Now, let $h' \in H$. Since H is the component of G we may assume without loss of generality that $H = G_{B_1}^{B_1}$ where $B_1 = B \times \{1\}$. But G_{B_1} is a subgroup of $(H \wr X)_{B_1}$, which factorises as $H \times (H \wr X_1^{\{2, \dots, n\}})$. Hence G_{B_1} must project onto H in the first coordinate, and it follows that there exists an element $g' = (h', h_2, \dots, h_n)x$ in G_{B_1} (that is to say, $1^x = 1$). For any element $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$ of $\hat{G}$ we have $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)^{g'} = (\ell_1^{h'}, \ell_2^{h_2 x^{-1}}, \dots, \ell_n^{h_n x^{-1}})$. Since $\hat{G}$ is normal in G , it follows that $L_1^{h'} = L_1$. Since h' was arbitrary, $L_1 \trianglelefteq H$. But L_1 is conjugate in H to L_i for all i , and hence we have $L_i = L_1$ for all i . Writing $L = L_1$, we obtain that $\hat{G}$ is a subdirect subgroup of L^n where $L \trianglelefteq H$. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a group satisfying Hypothesis 1.1. Let $T = \text{soc}(H)$, let $\hat{G} = G \cap H^n$ and assume that G is not block-faithful with respect to $\mathcal{B}$. Then at least one of the following holds.*

- (i) G is full, that is $\hat{G}$ contains T^n ;
- (ii) $\hat{G}$ is a full diagonal subgroup of L^n for some nontrivial normal subgroup L of H ;
- (iii) T is abelian.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, there exists a normal subgroup L of H such that $\hat{G}$ is a subdirect subgroup of L^n . Let g be a non-trivial element of $\hat{G}$ with minimal support I (that is, an element for which the set $I = \{i \mid \pi_i(g) \neq 1\}$ has minimal cardinality). Let $(L^n)_I$ denote the subgroup $\{a \in L^n \mid \pi_j(a) = 1 \text{ for all } j \notin I\}$ of L^n , and let $\hat{G}_I = \hat{G} \cap (L^n)_I$. Then $\hat{G}_I$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $\hat{G}$, and since $|I|$ is minimal, $\hat{G}_I$ is a diagonal subgroup of $(L^n)_I$.

Observe now that if $|I| = n$, then $(L^n)_I = L^n$, and so $\hat{G}_I = \hat{G}$; that is to say, $\hat{G}$ is a full diagonal subgroup of L^n , and case (ii) holds. On the other hand, if $I = \{i\}$ for some i , then $\hat{G}_I$ is normalised by $G_i := \{(h_1, \dots, h_n)x \in G \mid i^x = i\}$. Since the component of G is H , it follows that $\pi_i(\hat{G}_I) \trianglelefteq H$. Since H is 2-transitive, $\text{soc}(H)$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup T of H , and hence $\pi_i(\hat{G}_I)$ contains T . Since $\hat{G} \trianglelefteq G$ and X is transitive, $T^n \leq \hat{G}$ and case (i) holds.

So assume instead that $1 < |I| < n$, and let i, j be distinct elements of I . Since X is 2-transitive, there exists $t = (h_1, \dots, h_n)x^{-1} \in G$ with $i^x = i$ and $j^x \notin I$. Now let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be elements of $\hat{G}_I$ and observe that for all k we have $\pi_k([a, b^t]) = a_k^{-1}(b_k^{h_k^x})^{-1}a_k b_k^{h_k^x}$ which can be non-trivial only if both a_k and b_k^x are non-trivial. So $\pi_k([a, b^t])$ is trivial for all $k \notin I$; but also $\pi_j([a, b^t])$ is trivial since b_{j^x} is trivial. It follows that the support of $[\hat{G}_I, \hat{G}_I^t]$ is a proper subset of I . But since I is minimally non-trivial, we must have $[\hat{G}_I, \hat{G}_I^t] = 1$. This shows in particular that $[\pi_i(\hat{G}_I), (\pi_i(\hat{G}_I))^{h_i}] = 1$. Since $1 \neq \pi_i(\hat{G}_I) \trianglelefteq H$ (and therefore $1 \neq \pi_i(\hat{G}_I)^{h_i} \trianglelefteq H$ also), the groups $\pi_i(\hat{G}_I)$ and $\pi_i(\hat{G}_I)^{h_i}$ each contain T and T is abelian. $\square$

Lemma 4.2 essentially proves Theorem 1.2 except for specifying the exceptional examples that are listed in the theorem. To determine these we use the next three lemmas. A permutation group is said to be *half-transitive* if all its orbits have the same cardinality. In particular each normal subgroup of a transitive group is half-transitive.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that G is a rank 3 imprimitive subgroup of $H \wr X$ on $B \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, where H is a 2-transitive group. Suppose that G preserves the block system $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$, where as before $B_\ell = B \times \{\ell\}$. Suppose that $K \leq H^n$ and K is a normal subgroup of G and let $\alpha_i := (\alpha, i) \in B_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then, for all $j \neq i$, $\pi_j(K_{\alpha_i})$ is half-transitive on B_j .*

Proof. Observe that for any $j \neq i$, K_{α_i} is a normal subgroup of G_{α_i, B_j} . This means that $\pi_j(K_{\alpha_i}) \trianglelefteq \pi_j(G_{\alpha_i, B_j})$. Since G has rank 3, $\pi_j(G_{\alpha_i, B_j})$ is transitive on B_j , and so $\pi_j(K_{\alpha_i})$ is half-transitive. $\square$

We study groups satisfying Hypothesis 1.1. We recall that we set $B_\ell := B \times \{\ell\}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that G is a rank 3 group satisfying Hypothesis 1.1 such that H has a non-abelian simple socle T . Assume that the degree n of X is at least 3, and that $G \cap T^n$ is a full diagonal subgroup of T^n . Then T has at least three conjugacy classes of subgroups equivalent under $\text{Aut} T$ to the conjugacy class of point stabilisers in the T -action on B .*

Proof. Let $D := G \cap T^n$, a full diagonal subgroup of T^n . Then $D \trianglelefteq G$. For each k with $2 \leq k \leq n$ there exists an automorphism ψ_k of T such that

$$D = \{(t, t^{\psi_2}, \dots, t^{\psi_n}) \mid t \in T\}.$$

Let $i, j > 1$, and let $\alpha_i := (\alpha, i) \in B_i$ and $\alpha_j := (\alpha, j) \in B_j$. An element $\bar{t} = (t, t^{\psi_2}, \dots, t^{\psi_n})$ of D is contained in D_{α_i} if and only if $t^{\psi_i} \in T_\alpha$; so $D_{\alpha_i} = \{\bar{t} \mid t \in T_\alpha^{\psi_i^{-1}}\}$. Hence $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_i}) = T_\alpha^{\psi_i^{-1}}$ and $\pi_i(D_{\alpha_i}) = T_\alpha$. Similarly $\pi_j(D_{\alpha_j}) = T_\alpha$. Observe that $T_\alpha \neq 1$ since H is 2-transitive and almost simple, and therefore T is non-regular. Thus $|\pi_1(D_{\alpha_i})| = |T_\alpha| > 1$. By Lemma 4.3, $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_i}) = T_\alpha^{\psi_i^{-1}}$ and $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_j}) = T_\alpha^{\psi_j^{-1}}$ are both half-transitive on B , and nontrivial. So neither $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_i})$ nor $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_j})$ is conjugate in T to T_α . It remains to show that $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_i})$ and $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_j})$ are not conjugate to each other.

If $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_i})$ and $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_j})$ were conjugate in T , then $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_i})^{\psi_j} = T_\alpha^{\psi_i^{-1}\psi_j}$ would be conjugate to $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_j})^{\psi_j} = T_\alpha$. Note that $\pi_j(D_{\alpha_i}) = T_\alpha^{\psi_i^{-1}\psi_j}$. Thus $\pi_j(D_{\alpha_i})$ would be conjugate to $\pi_j(D_{\alpha_j}) = T_\alpha$. Again Lemma 4.3 shows that this is impossible. Hence

the three subgroups $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_i})$, $\pi_1(D_{\alpha_j})$ and $\pi_i(D_{\alpha_i})$ are mutually non-conjugate, and since $\pi_i(D_{\alpha_i}) = T_\alpha$, the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that G is a rank 3 group satisfying Hypothesis 1.1 such that $n = 2$, and assume that $\hat{G} := G \cap (H \times H)$ is a full diagonal subgroup of $H \times H$. Then there is an automorphism φ of H such that H_α^φ is transitive, for some $\alpha \in B$.*

Proof. There is an automorphism φ of H such that $\hat{G} = \{(h, h^\varphi) \mid h \in H\}$. Since G has rank 3, the stabiliser of a point $\alpha_1 = (\alpha, 1) \in B_1$ is transitive on B_2 . Now $G_{\alpha_1} = \hat{G}_{\alpha_1}$ and as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, $G_{\alpha_1} = \{(h, h^\varphi) \mid h \in H_\alpha\}$. Thus $\pi_2(G_{\alpha_1}) = H_\alpha^\varphi$ is transitive on B_2 . $\square$

The proof of the next lemma relies on the classification of almost simple 2-transitive groups, and hence on the Classification of Finite Simple Groups.

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be a rank 3 group satisfying Hypothesis 1.1 such that H has a non-abelian simple socle T , and where X has degree $n \geq 2$. Assume that G is neither full nor block-faithful. Then $n = 2$ and T is either A_6 or M_{12} of degree 6 or 12 respectively. Moreover, $G \cap (H \times H)$ is a full diagonal subgroup of $H \times H$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, $\hat{G} := G \cap H^n$ is a full diagonal subgroup of L^n where $T \trianglelefteq L \trianglelefteq H$. Table 7.4 of [6] shows that T has at most two conjugacy classes of subgroups equivalent under $\text{Aut}T$ to a point stabiliser. Hence by Lemma 4.4, $n = 2$, and by Lemma 4.5, there are two such conjugacy classes. The possible groups T are A_6 of degree 6, $\text{PSL}(2, 11)$ of degree 11, M_{12} of degree 12, A_7 of degree 15, HS of degree 176, or $\text{PSL}(m, q)$ ($m \geq 3$) of degree $(q^m - 1)/(q - 1)$. But since $n = 2$, Lemma 4.5 now implies that H must have a transitive subgroup equivalent under $\text{Aut}T$ to a point stabiliser, and this eliminates all possibilities for T but A_6 and M_{12} . When $n = 2$, $\hat{G}$ is subdirect in $H \times H$ and so $L = H$. $\square$

Now we are able to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 and has rank 3 on Ω . By Corollary 3.5, if G is block-faithful then it is quasiprimitive. If G is not quasiprimitive and we do not have $T^n \leq G$, then Lemmas 4.2 and Lemma 4.6 imply that $n = 2$, $T = A_6$ or M_{12} of degree 6 or 12 respectively, and $\hat{G} = G \cap (H \times H)$ is a full diagonal subgroup of $H \times H$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.3, $\mathcal{B}$ is the unique system of imprimitivity of G and so $C_G(\hat{G}) = 1$. Thus $G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$.

Suppose first that $T = A_6$. Then $H = A_6$ or S_6 and G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A_6)$ that has a transitive action of degree 12 with two blocks of size 6 such that the stabiliser of a point is transitive on the block not containing that point. Hence $G = M_{10}$, $\text{PGL}(2, 9)$ or $\text{Aut}(A_6)$. Similarly, when $T = M_{12}$ we must have $H = M_{12}$ and $G = \text{Aut}(M_{12})$. Conversely, we can see that all cases listed in Theorem 1.2 have rank 3. $\square$

4.1. The case when H is affine. If the 2-transitive group H in Theorem 1.2 is not of almost simple type, then by [5, Section 154] it is of affine type. An analogous result to Theorem 1.2 does not hold in this case; that is to say, when H is affine with socle T then $G \cap T^n$ can be a non-trivial subgroup of H^n which is neither T^n nor a full diagonal subgroup of T^n .

Suppose that $H \leq \text{AGL}(a, q)$ for some prime power q and integer a , and that X has degree n . Then $|T| = q^a$, and T^n may be viewed as an (an) -dimensional vector space over $F := \text{GF}(q)$. Under the action induced by the top group X of $H \wr X$ on

T^n , T^n may be viewed as an FX -module. If $X \leq G$, then $\hat{T} = G \cap T^n$ corresponds to an FX -submodule of T^n .

Mortimer [22] shows that the possible FX -submodules (where X is 2-transitive) are too numerous to identify. (Furthermore, we cannot even guarantee that X is contained in G ; so there may be still more possibilities for $\hat{T}$.) However, there are four submodules which may be thought of as ‘standard’ in the sense that they exist for every 2-transitive group X . These correspond to the following possibilities for $\hat{T}$:

- (i) $\hat{T}$ is trivial
- (ii) $\hat{T}$ is a full diagonal subgroup of T^n
- (iii) $\hat{T} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid t_1 t_2 \dots t_n = 1\}$
- (iv) $\hat{T} = T^n$.

Cases (i), (ii) and (iv) are analogous to those arising when H is almost simple. We show in the following example and lemma that case (iii) can also give rise to a rank 3 group when H is affine.

Example 4.7. Let H be a 2-transitive affine group with socle T , and let H_0 be the stabiliser of 0, so that $H = T \rtimes H_0$. Let n be an integer greater than 2, and let S be the subgroup $\{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid t_1 t_2 \dots t_n = 1\}$ of T^n . (Note that S is a subgroup because T is abelian.)

Let

$$D = \text{diag}(H_0^n) = \{(h, \dots, h) \mid h \in H_0\} < H^n.$$

Let X be a 2-transitive group of degree n and let $G = \langle S, D \rangle \rtimes X$.

Lemma 4.8. *The group G from Example 4.7 is a rank 3 subgroup of $H \wr X$ with component H , and $G \cap T^n = S$.*

Proof. The group S is normalised by both D and X , so $G \cap T^n = S$. Let ν_1 denote the projection from $(H \wr X)_1$ to H . Since $\langle S, D \rangle$ fixes the coordinate 1, both $\nu_1(S) = T$ and $\nu_1(D) = H_0$ are contained in $\nu_1(G_1)$; that is $H = \langle T, H_0 \rangle \leq \nu_1(G_1)$, so the component of G is H .

The stabiliser in G of the vertex $(1, 0) \in B_1$ fixes the block B_1 , and induces H_0 on B_1 and X_1 on the set of blocks. So $G_{(1,0)}$ is transitive on $B_1 \setminus \{(1, 0)\}$ and on $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{B_1\}$. Moreover, the stabiliser in $G_{(1,0)}$ of B_2 contains $S_{(1,0)} = \{(1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \mid t_2 t_3 \dots t_n = 1\}$, and since $n > 2$ we have $\pi_2(S_{(1,0)}) = T$; so $(G_{(1,0)})_{B_2}$ induces a transitive action on B_2 . It follows that G has rank 3. $\square$

5. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.3 AND 1.5

In this section we will suppose that $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 with corresponding block-set $\mathcal{B} = \{B \times \{i\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, that G is block-faithful and $G \cong G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is almost simple. By Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.4, this is in particular the case if the action of G on Ω has rank 3 and G is block-faithful.

Therefore, we will prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.5 in parallel. In particular both results will follow from Theorem 5.1 and the propositions in this section. Our method is to first determine the 2-transitive almost simple groups whose point-stabiliser admits a (not necessarily faithful) 2-transitive action. We then determine which of these give rise to a rank 3 action. We will often need to use two blocks of $\mathcal{B}$ which, for simplicity of notation, we will simply denote by B and B' .

For convenience we have listed the almost simple 2-transitive groups in the following theorem (compiled from [6, Table 7.4]).

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a finite almost simple 2-transitive permutation group on n points, with unique minimal normal subgroup T . Then one of the following holds.*

- (a) $G = \text{PSL}(2, 11)$, of degree 11; $G = \text{PFL}(2, 8)$, of degree 28; $G = A_7$, of degree 15; $G = \text{HS}$, of degree 176; $G = \text{Co}_3$, of degree 276.
- (b) $T = M_n$, of degree $n = 11, 12, 22, 23$ or 24 ; or $G = M_{11}$ of degree 12.
- (c) $T = A_n$, of degree $n \geq 5$.
- (d) $T = \text{PSp}(2\ell, 2)$ of degree $n = 2^{2\ell-1} \pm 2^{\ell-1}$, with $\ell \geq 3$.
- (e) $T = \text{Sz}(q)$, of degree $n = q^2 + 1$, with $q = 2^{2c+1} \geq 8$.
- (f) $T = \text{Ree}(q)$, of degree $n = q^3 + 1$, with $q = 3^{2c+1} > 3$.
- (g) $T = \text{PSU}(3, q)$, of degree $n = q^3 + 1$ with $q \geq 3$.
- (h) $T = \text{PSL}(a, q)$ of degree $n = (q^a - 1)/(q - 1)$ with $(a, q) \neq (2, 2)$ or $(2, 3)$.

Two actions of the group G are said to be *isomorphic* if the point stabilisers of the two actions are conjugate in G .

Remark 5.2. In each of (a)-(h), there are at most two 2-transitive actions up to isomorphism. Moreover, whenever one of these groups, say G , has two nonisomorphic 2-transitive actions, the corresponding point stabilisers are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G (see the final column of [6, p.197]). Therefore it is sufficient to consider one action for the 2-transitive almost simple group acting faithfully on blocks.

The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ is a group satisfying Hypothesis 1.1, with system of imprimitivity $\mathcal{B}$ consisting of n blocks of size m . Then G has rank 3 if and only if*

- (A) *for distinct $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$, $G_{B, B'}$ acts transitively on $B \times B'$, and*
- (B) *for $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $v \in B$, the subgroup $G_v = G_{B, v}$ induces a transitive action on $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{B\}$.*

If G has rank 3, then for distinct $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$, the following properties hold:

- (C) *the number m^2 divides $|G_{B, B'}|$;*
- (D) *the subgroup $G_{B, B'}$ admits at least two non-isomorphic transitive actions on m points;*
- (E) *for $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the action of G_B on B is not isomorphic to the action of G_B on $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{B\}$;*
- (F) *for $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $v \in B$, G_v is not $G_B \cap N$ for any normal subgroup N of G .*

Proof. The group G has rank 3 if and only if the orbits of the stabiliser G_v are $\{v\}$, $B \setminus \{v\}$ and $\Omega \setminus B$, for any $v \in \Omega$, with B the block of imprimitivity containing v .

Suppose first that properties (A) and (B) hold. Since G_B^B is 2-transitive by Hypothesis 1.1, $B \setminus \{v\}$ is an orbit of G_v . Let $v_1, v_2 \in \Omega \setminus B$ be distinct and let B_1 , respectively B_2 , be the block containing v_1 , respectively v_2 . By (B), there exists $g \in G_v$ mapping B_1 onto B_2 , and by (A), there exists $h \in G_{B, B_2}$ mapping (v, v_1^g) onto (v, v_2) . Note that $h \in G_v$ and $gh \in G_v$ maps v_1 onto v_2 . Thus $\Omega \setminus B$ is also an orbit of G_v and G has rank 3.

Conversely, suppose that G has rank 3. Let B, B' be distinct blocks of $\mathcal{B}$, and let $v \in B$. Let (v_1, v'_1) and (v_2, v'_2) be two elements of $B \times B'$. Then there exists $g_1 \in G_{v_1}$ mapping v'_1 onto v'_2 , since they both belong to $\Omega \setminus B$, and similarly, there exists $g_2 \in G_{v'_2}$ mapping v_1 onto v_2 . Thus $g_1 g_2$ maps (v_1, v'_1) onto (v_2, v'_2) and maps the block B containing v_1 to the block B containing v_2 , that is $g_1 g_2$ fixes B and

similarly g_1g_2 fixes B' . Thus $g_1g_2 \in G_{B,B'}$. Therefore property (A) holds. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $v \in B$. Since G_v is transitive on $\Omega \setminus B$, property (B) follows.

Property (C) follows immediately from property (A). It also follows from (A) that $G_{B,B'}$ admits at least one transitive action on m points. If $G_{B,B'}$ admitted only one transitive action on m points (up to conjugation in G), then the stabiliser in $G_{B,B'}$ of a vertex in B would also fix a vertex in B' , which would contradict (A). Hence property (D) holds. If G_B^B was isomorphic to the action of G_B on $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{B\}$, then $G_{B,B'}$ would fix a point of B , contradicting (A). Hence property (E) holds.

Suppose $G_v = G_B \cap N$ where N is a normal subgroup of G . Since G^B is 2-transitive, there exists $g \in G$ interchanging B and B' . Let $v' = v^g$. Then $G_{v'} = G_v^g = G_B^g \cap N^g = G_{B'} \cap N$. But then $G_{B,B',v} = G_B \cap N \cap G_{B'}$ is contained in $G_{v'}$ and hence fixes the point v' of B' , contradicting property (A). Hence (F) holds. $\square$

We use the following lemma in the proofs of several Propositions in this section.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 and let B be a block of imprimitivity. If G_B^B is 2-transitive of affine type, then $G_B/G_{(B)} \cong G_B^B$ has a unique minimal normal subgroup $K/G_{(B)}$ which is self-centralising in $G_B/G_{(B)}$.*

Proof. Since $G_B/G_{(B)} \cong G_B^B$ is 2-transitive of affine type, it has a unique minimal normal subgroup N and N is self-centralising in G_B^B . Since $N = K/G_{(B)}$ for some normal subgroup K of G_B , the result follows. $\square$

We deal with the various cases of Theorem 5.1 in a sequence of propositions.

Proposition 5.5. *Let G be an imprimitive permutation group on Ω with system of imprimitivity $\mathcal{B}$ such that $G \cong G^B$ is as in (a) or (b) of Theorem 5.1. Then G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 if and only if G , n and $|B|$ are as in one of Lines 1 to 16 of Table 2. Moreover G has rank 3 on Ω if and only if $G = M_{11}$, $n = 11$, $|B| = 2$ and $G_B^B \cong C_2$.*

Proof. Lines 1 to 16 of Table 2 contain in column 2 all the 2-transitive groups G^B in parts (a) or (b) of Theorem 5.1 together with the degree $|\mathcal{B}| = n$, the stabiliser $(G^B)_B \cong G_B$ and the stabiliser of 2 distinct blocks in columns 3, 5 and 6 respectively. Column 4 contains the degrees $|B|$ of all 2-transitive representations of G_B , using information derived from Theorem 5.1. Thus $G \cong G^B$ satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 with respect to $n = |\mathcal{B}|$ and some B if and only if G , n , $|B|$ occur in Lines 1 to 16 of Table 2.

Now assume that G has rank 3. By Lemma 3.1, G is in one of the lines 1 to 16 of Table 2. Cases on Lines 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16 do not satisfy the divisibility condition (C). Hence G is in one of the other cases.

Suppose G were as in Line 5. We have $G_B = \text{P}\Sigma\text{U}(3, 5)$ and $G_{(B)} = \text{PSU}(3, 5)$. If B' is a second block of imprimitivity, then $G_{B,B'} = \text{Aut}(A_6)$ and it can be deduced from the Atlas [7, p34] that $G_{(B),B'} = M_{10}$. Notice that $G_{(B),B'}$ is contained in $G_{B'} \cong \text{P}\Sigma\text{U}(3, 5)$ and that $G_{(B')}$ is the unique subgroup of $G_{B'}$ isomorphic to $\text{PSU}(3, 5)$. By [7, p34], the group $\text{P}\Sigma\text{U}(3, 5)$ contains two classes of M_{10} subgroups, all of which are contained in $\text{PSU}(3, 5)$. It follows that $G_{(B),B'}$ is contained in $G_{(B')}$, and so (A) is not satisfied.

Suppose G were as in Line 6. Then $G_{B,B'} = \text{PSU}(4, 3) \rtimes C_2$ has only one conjugacy class of subgroups of index 2, namely subgroups isomorphic to $\text{PSU}(4, 3)$. This contradicts (D).

Suppose G were as in Line 8. Then $G_B^B = C_2$ and $G_v = G_{(B)} = A_6$, and it can be checked (for instance with Magma [4]) that this G -action has rank 3.

Suppose G were as in Line 11. Then $G_{B,B'} = M_{10}$ has only one conjugacy class of subgroups of index 12, namely subgroups isomorphic to A_5 . This can be deduced from the Atlas [7, p.4]. This contradicts (D).

Suppose G were as in Line 14. For $v \in B$, we have $G_{B,v} = \text{PSL}(3, 4) = \text{P}\Sigma\text{L}(3, 4) \cap M_{22}$, contradicting (F). $\square$

Proposition 5.6. *Let G be an imprimitive permutation group on Ω with system of imprimitivity $\mathcal{B}$ such that $G \cong G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is as in (c) of Theorem 5.1. Then G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 if and only if G , n and $|B|$ are as in one of Lines 17 to 26 of Table 2. Moreover, none of these group actions has rank 3.*

Proof. We have $\text{soc}(G) = A_n$ with $n \geq 5$, so that $G = S_n$ or A_n . Then $G_B = S_{n-1}$ or A_{n-1} , and $G_{B,B'} = S_{n-2}$ or A_{n-2} respectively. Lines 17 to 26 of Table 2 list the possible degrees $m = |B|$ of a 2-transitive action for G_B . Now assume the action of G on Ω has rank 3. Then G is as in one of Lines 17 to 26 of Table 2. By property (E), G_B^B is not the natural action of G_B on $n-1$ points. So if $m = n-1$, then $n = 7$, we are in the case of Line 17 or 18, and G_B^B is not the natural action of S_6 or A_6 on 6 points. However in those cases $G_{B,B'}$ is A_5 or S_5 and does not have property (C). Next if $G = S_n$ and $m = 2$, then $G_{B,v} = A_{n-1} = G_B \cap A_n$, contradicting property (F), so Line 19 does not occur. This leaves only the possibilities for G_B and m in Lines 20 to 26 of Table 2. None of these satisfy (C). So such a group G does not exist. $\square$

Proposition 5.7. *Let G be an imprimitive permutation group on Ω with system of imprimitivity $\mathcal{B}$ such that $G \cong G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is as in (d) of Theorem 5.1. Then G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 if and only if G , n and $|B|$ are as in one of Lines 27 to 29 of Table 2. Moreover, none of these group actions has rank 3.*

Proof. Here $G = \text{PSp}(2\ell, 2)$, of degree $n = 2^{2\ell-1} \pm 2^{\ell-1}$, with $\ell \geq 3$. We have $G_B = \text{PSO}^{\pm}(2\ell, 2)$. Let B' be another block in $\mathcal{B}$. Then $G_{B,B'}$ is the stabiliser of a singular point in the action of $\text{PSO}^{\pm}(2\ell, 2)$ on $V(2\ell, 2)$, that is, $G_{B,B'} \cong N \rtimes \text{PSO}^{\pm}(2\ell-2, 2)$, with N elementary abelian of order $2^{2\ell-2}$.

Assume first that either $\ell \geq 4$, or $\ell = 3$ and $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ has degree 28. Then there is no 2-transitive action of G_B with socle $\text{P}\Omega^{\pm}(2\ell, 2)$, and so the only 2-transitive action of G_B is on 2 points, with $G_{(B)} = \text{P}\Omega^{\pm}(2\ell, 2)$, as in Lines 27 and 28 (with $\ell \geq 4$) of Table 2. In this case, $G_{(B),B'}$ is an index 2 subgroup of $G_{B,B'}$. As the induced action of $G_{B,B'}$ on N is irreducible, we must have $G_{(B),B'} = N \rtimes H$ where H is an index 2 subgroup of $\text{PSO}^{\pm}(2\ell-2, 2)$. The only such subgroup is $\text{P}\Omega^{\pm}(2\ell-2, 2)$, since $\text{P}\Omega^{\pm}(2\ell-2, 2)$ is simple. Therefore $G_{(B),B'} = N \rtimes \text{P}\Omega^{\pm}(2\ell-2, 2)$. Now $G_{(B),B'}$ is contained in $G_{B'} \cong \text{PSO}^{\pm}(2\ell, 2)$. If $G_{(B),B'}$ is not contained in $G_{(B')} \cong \text{P}\Omega^{\pm}(2\ell, 2)$, then $G_{(B),B'} \cap G_{(B')}$ has index 2 in $G_{(B),B'}$. Since $\text{P}\Omega^{\pm}(2\ell-2, 2)$ is simple and acts irreducibly on N , this is not possible. Hence $G_{(B),B'}$ is contained in $G_{(B')}$, and so property (A) fails. Thus this action of G does not have rank 3.

Now assume $\ell = 3$ and G has degree 36. Then $G_B = \text{PSO}^+(6, 2) \cong S_8$, which has 2-transitive actions on 2 and 8 points, as in Lines 28 (with $\ell = 3$) and 29 of Table 2. The only index 35 subgroups of S_8 are stabilisers of partitions of an 8-set into two 4-sets (see the Atlas [7, p.22]), and hence $G_{B,B'} \cong S_4 \wr S_2$.

Consider first the case $|B| = 2$. Then $G_{(B)} = \text{P}\Omega^+(6, 2) \cong A_8$, and by [7, p.22] $G_{(B),B'} \cong (S_4 \wr S_2) \cap A_8 \cong 2^4 \rtimes (S_3 \times S_3)$ acting transitively on 8 points. Now $G_{(B),B'}$ and $G_{B,(B')}$ have index 2 in $G_{B,B'} \cong S_4 \wr S_2$. Any index 2 subgroup in $G_{B,B'}$ contains the derived subgroup $(G_{B,B'})' = (2^4 \rtimes (C_3 \times C_3)).2$, and so there are exactly three

subgroups of index 2 in $G_{B,B'}$, namely $S_4 \times S_4$, $(S_4 \wr S_2) \cap A_8$ and $(2^4 \rtimes (C_3 \times C_3)).C_4$, and they are pairwise nonisomorphic. Since $G_{(B),B'}$ and $G_{B,(B')}$ are conjugate in G , we must have that $G_{(B),B'} = G_{B,(B')}$. Thus property (A) fails and this action of G does not have rank 3.

Now consider the case $|B| = 8$. Here $G_{B,v} \cong S_7$ for v a point of B . Therefore $G_{B,B',v}$ is the stabiliser in $G_B = S_8$ of a partition with parts of size 1, 3, 4, and $G_{B,B',v} = S_4 \times S_3$. We have $G_{B,B',v} < G_{B'} \cong S_8$. It can be computed, for instance with Magma [4], that there is only one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $S_4 \times S_3$ in S_8 , and each such group has orbits of size 1, 3, 4. Hence $G_{B,B',v}$ fixes a point of B' , contradicting property (A). So this action of G does not have rank 3 either. $\square$

Proposition 5.8. *Let G be an imprimitive permutation group on Ω with system of imprimitivity $\mathcal{B}$ such that $G \cong G^B$ is as in (e) of Theorem 5.1. Then G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 if and only if G , n and $|B|$ are as in Line 1 of Table 3. Moreover, this group action does not have rank 3.*

Proof. We have $T = \text{Sz}(q)$ with $q = 2^e$ and e odd, $e \geq 3$, $T \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T) = A$, and $A_B = (Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$, where $|Q| = q^2$, $|\tau| = q - 1$ and $|\sigma| = e$. More precisely, $G = T \rtimes \langle \sigma^{e/d} \rangle$ for some divisor d of e . Now T_B has a unique minimal normal subgroup K . Moreover, $|K| = q$, K is the centre of Q and $A_B/K \cong \text{AGL}(1, q)$, see [26]. Furthermore, $G_B = (Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma^{e/d} \rangle$ and we can choose B' such that $G_{B,B'} = \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma^{e/d} \rangle$.

Now $G_B = A_B \cap G$, which contains $T_B = Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Suppose first that G_B acts faithfully on B . Then G_B^B is affine with minimal normal subgroup K and the fact that Q centralises K contradicts Lemma 5.4. Thus G_B is unfaithful on B and so $K^B = 1$. Moreover, as a 2-transitive group has even order and both $q - 1$ and e are odd, it follows that $G_{(B)} = K$. Then $\text{AGL}(1, q) \leq G_B^B \leq \text{AGL}(1, q)$ and the only faithful 2-transitive action of this group is of degree q . Thus $|B| = q$, as in Line 1 of Table 3. If this G -action had rank 3, then by property (C), we would have $m^2 = q^2$ dividing $|G_{B,B'}|$, which is not the case. $\square$

Proposition 5.9. *Let G be an imprimitive permutation group on Ω with system of imprimitivity $\mathcal{B}$ such that $G \cong G^B$ is as in (f) of Theorem 5.1. Then G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 if and only if G , n , $|B|$ are as in Lines 2 or 3 of Table 3. Moreover, neither of these group actions has rank 3.*

Proof. We have $T = \text{Ree}(q)$ with $q = 3^e$ and e odd, $e \geq 3$, $T \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T) = A$ and $A_B = (Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$, where $|Q| = q^3$, $|\tau| = q - 1$ and $|\sigma| = e$. Moreover, $|Z(Q)| = q$, $|Q'| = q^2$ and $\langle \tau \rangle$ acts irreducibly on the elementary abelian 3-groups $Z(Q)$, $Q'/Z(Q)$ and Q/Q' . Furthermore, τ acts transitively on the nontrivial elements of $Z(Q)$ and Q/Q' , while having two equal length orbits on the nontrivial elements of $Q/Z(Q)$. See [19, 25, 27] for further details. We can choose another block B' such that $G_{B,B'} = (\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle) \cap G$.

Now $G = T \rtimes \langle \sigma^{e/d} \rangle$ for some divisor d of e and $G_B = A_B \cap G$, which contains $T_B = Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Given the way that τ acts on Q , it follows that the nontrivial normal subgroups of G_B contained in Q are $Z(Q)$, Q' and Q .

Suppose first that G_B acts faithfully on B . Then G_B^B is affine with minimal normal subgroup $Z(Q)$ and the fact that Q centralises $Z(Q)$ contradicts Lemma 5.4. Thus $Z(Q) \leq G_{(B)}$. If $Z(Q) = G_{(B)}$ then $Q'/Z(Q)$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G_B^B . However, $Q'/Z(Q)$ is central in $Q/Z(Q)$ which is a contradiction,

so $Q' \leq G_{(B)}$. If $G_{(B)} = Q'$ then $G_B^B \leq \text{A}\Gamma\text{L}(1, q)$ and contains $\text{AGL}(1, q)$. In this case, the only faithful 2-transitive action of G_B^B is of degree q . Thus $|B| = q$, as in Line 2 of Table 3. If this G -action had rank 3, then by property (C), we would have q^2 dividing $|G_{B,B'}|$, which is not the case. If $G_{(B)} \neq Q'$ then $Q \leq G_{(B)}$. Since e is odd and the order of the 2-transitive group G_B^B is even, it follows that $\langle \tau \rangle$ induces a nontrivial cyclic group on B ; and as G_B^B is 2-transitive, the degree $m = |B|$ is a prime dividing $q - 1$ such that $m - 1$ divides e . Hence $|B| = 2$, as in Line 3 of Table 3, and $G_B^B = C_2$. If this G -action had rank 3, then by property (D), $G_{B,B'}$ would have at least two non-isomorphic actions on 2 points, which is not the case. $\square$

We next consider the groups with socle $\text{PSU}(3, q)$

Remark 5.10 ([23]). Suppose that $T = \text{PSU}(3, q) \leq G \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{U}(3, q) = A$, with A acting on $\mathcal{B}$ of size $q^3 + 1$, where $q = p^e$ with p a prime and $e \geq 1$, $q > 2$ and let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. The stabiliser A_B has a normal subgroup Q of order q^3 and contains elements τ, σ of order $q^2 - 1$ and $2e$, respectively, such that $T_B = Q \rtimes \langle \tau^{(3, q+1)} \rangle \leq G_B \leq (Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle = A_B$. More precisely, $G_B = A_B \cap G$. Moreover, T_B has a unique minimal normal subgroup K , which is contained in and centralised by Q , $|K| = q$, Q/K is elementary abelian of order q^2 and $A_B/K \cong \text{A}\Gamma\text{L}(1, q^2)$.

Proposition 5.11. *Let G be an imprimitive permutation group on Ω with system of imprimitivity $\mathcal{B}$ such that $G \cong G^B$ is as in (g) of Theorem 5.1. Then G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 if and only if G , n and $|B|$ are as in Lines 4, 5, or 6 of Table 3, with column 5 giving additional conditions on G or $|B|$. Moreover, none of these group actions has rank 3.*

Proof. Let $T = \text{PSU}(3, q) \leq G \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{U}(3, q) = A$ and B be a block of $\mathcal{B}$. We use the notation of Remark 5.10. We can choose another block B' such that $G_{B,B'} = (\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle) \cap G$. Moreover, there is an element $g \in \text{PSU}(3, q)$ interchanging B and B' such that $\tau^g = \tau^{-q}$ and $\sigma^g = \sigma$. Indeed, using the notation of [15, p.249], with $B = \langle e_1 \rangle$, $B' = \langle e_3 \rangle$, then $\tau = h_{\gamma, 1}$ for some primitive element γ of $\text{GF}(q^2)$ and g is the map $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_3, -x_2, x_1)$. It is straightforward to check that g preserves the hermitian form ϕ in [15, p.249], that $\det(g) = 1$ and $g^2 = 1$.

Suppose first that G_B acts faithfully on B . Then G_B^B is affine with minimal normal subgroup K and the fact that Q centralises K contradicts Lemma 5.4. Hence G_B is unfaithful on B and $K^B = 1$. Suppose first that $G_B^B = G_B/K \leq \text{A}\Gamma\text{L}(1, q^2)$. Then $|B| = q^2$ since the only faithful primitive action of this group is on q^2 points. This action is 2-transitive provided that $G \cap (\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle)$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma\text{L}(1, q^2)$ which acts transitively on the set of nonzero elements of $\text{GF}(q^2)$. Thus Line 4 of Table 3 holds. If this G -action had rank 3, then by property (C), we would have $|B|^2 = q^4$ dividing $|G_{B,B'}|$, which is not the case. Suppose now that $K < G_{(B)}$. Since $q > 2$, G_B acts irreducibly on Q/K and Q/K is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G_B/K . Thus $Q \leq G_{(B)}$. Since G_B^B is 2-transitive, it follows that either

- (1) $|B| = m$ is an odd prime dividing $q^2 - 1$ such that the order of $p \pmod{m}$, denoted by $\text{ord}(p \pmod{m})$, is $m - 1$, and $G_B^B \cong C_m \rtimes C_{m-1} \cong \text{AGL}(1, m)$, or
- (2) $m = 2$, $|G_B/Q|$ is even and $G_B^B = C_2$.

Assume we are in case (1). Thus Line 5 of Table 3 holds. Suppose that this G -action has rank 3. Then $G_{B,B'} = (\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle) \cap G$ has a unique transitive representation of degree m , contradicting property (D).

Assume we are in case (2). Let $H = G \cap \text{PGU}(3, q)$. Since $|G_B/Q|$ is even, we either have that q is odd, or that $|G/H|$ is even, or both. Thus Line 6 of Table 3 holds. Suppose that this G -action has rank 3. If either q is even or $|G/H|$ is

G_B	$G_{B,B'}$	$G_{(B)}$	$G_{(B),B'}$	
$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^3, \mu \rangle$ where $\mu = \sigma^i, \sigma^i \tau$ or $\sigma^i \tau^2$ and 3 divides $q+1$	$\langle \tau^3, \mu \rangle$	$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^3, \mu^2 \rangle$	$\langle \tau^3, \mu^2 \rangle$	(1.a)
		$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^6, \mu \rangle$	$\langle \tau^6, \mu \rangle$	(1.b)
		$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^6, \mu \tau^3 \rangle$	$\langle \tau^6, \mu \tau^3 \rangle$	(1.c)
$(Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma^i \rangle$	$\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma^i \rangle$	$(Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma^{2i} \rangle$	$\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma^{2i} \rangle$	(2.a)
		$(Q \rtimes \langle \tau^2 \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma^i \rangle$	$\langle \tau^2 \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma^i \rangle$	(2.b)
		$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^2, \sigma^i \tau \rangle$	$\langle \tau^2, \sigma^i \tau \rangle$	(2.c)

TABLE 4. Possibilities for the proof of Proposition 5.11

odd, then a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{B,B'}$ is cyclic and hence $G_{B,B'}$ has at most one transitive representation on 2 points, so property (D) is not satisfied. Thus q is odd and $|G/H|$ is even, and so $|G/H| = 2e/i$ for some divisor i of e . Then $G_B, G_{B,B'}, G_{(B)}$ and $G_{(B),B'}$ are as in one of the lines of Table 4. In lines (1.b), (1.c), (2.b), (2.c), there are additional conditions on p and i for $G_{(B)}$ to have index 2 in G_B (but we shall not need them here).

If $G = \langle H, \mu \rangle$, where $\mu = \sigma^i, \sigma^i \tau$ or $\sigma^i \tau^2$, for some i dividing e , then $\langle H, \mu^2 \rangle$ is normal in G , and so, by property (F), $G_{(B)}$ cannot be equal to $\langle H, \mu^2 \rangle \cap G_B$. This eliminates cases (1.a) and (2.a).

In all other cases $G_{(B),B'} = \langle \tau^{2\ell}, \sigma^i \tau^k \rangle$ for some $k \in [0, 2\ell - 1]$ where $\ell = 3$ in cases (1.b) and (1.c), and $\ell = 1$ in cases (2.b) and (2.c). Recall that g interchanges B and B' , $\tau^g = \tau^{-q}$ and $\sigma^g = \sigma$. Thus $G_{(B'),B} = (G_{(B),B'})^g$ is generated by $(\tau^{2\ell})^g = \tau^{-2\ell q}$ and $(\sigma^i \tau^k)^g = \sigma^i \tau^{-kq}$. Since $\tau^{-2\ell q} \in \langle \tau^{2\ell} \rangle$ and $\tau^{2\ell} = (\tau^{-2\ell q})^{-q} \in \langle \tau^{-2\ell q} \rangle$, we have $\langle \tau^{2\ell} \rangle = \langle (\tau^{2\ell})^g \rangle$. Moreover, $\sigma^i \tau^{-kq} = (\sigma^i \tau^k) \tau^{-k(q+1)} \equiv \sigma^i \tau^k$ modulo $\langle \tau^{2\ell} \rangle$ (since 2ℓ divides $q+1$ in both cases). It follows that $G_{(B'),B} = G_{(B),B'}$ and hence $G_{(B),B'}$ fixes $B \cup B'$ pointwise and property (A) fails. Thus this G -action does not have rank 3. $\square$

We next consider the groups with socle $\text{PSL}(2, q)$.

Remark 5.12. Let $T = \text{PSL}(2, q) \leq G \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, q) = A$, with A acting on $\mathcal{B}$ of size $q+1$, where $q = p^e \geq 4$ with p a prime and $e \geq 1$, and let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $G_B = ((Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle) \cap G$ where Q is elementary abelian of order q , τ is of order $q-1$ and σ is of order e . Let $i = |G/(G \cap \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, q))|$, then i divides e .

Proposition 5.13. *Let G be an imprimitive permutation group on Ω with system of imprimitivity $\mathcal{B}$ such that $G \cong G^{\mathcal{B}}$ is as in (h) of Theorem 5.1 for $a = 2$. Then G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 if and only if G , n and $|B|$ are as in Lines 7, 8, or 9 of Table 3, with column 5 giving additional conditions on G or $|B|$. Moreover, G has rank 3 on Ω if and only if the following conditions hold (see Remark 5.12 for the notation):*

- $|B| = 2, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,
- $G = \langle \text{PSL}(2, q), \sigma^i \tau \rangle$, where i divides e , e/i is even, and either $p^i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, or $p^i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $e/i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$,
- $G_{(B)} = Q \rtimes \langle \tau^4, \sigma^i \tau^k \rangle$ with $k = 1$ or 3 .

Moreover, the two actions (for $k = 1$ or 3) are not isomorphic.

Proof. Let $T = \text{PSL}(2, q) \leq G \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, q) = A$ and B be a block of $\mathcal{B}$. We use the notation of Remark 5.12. We can choose another block B' such that $G_{B,B'} = (\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle) \cap G$. Also there is an element $g \in \text{PSL}(2, p) \leq \text{PSL}(2, q)$ interchanging B and B' and such that $\sigma^g = \sigma$ and $\tau^g = \tau^{-1}$. Indeed, working in $\Gamma\text{L}(2, q)$ acting on the vector

G_B	$G_{B,B'}$	$G_{(B)}$	$G_{(B),B'}$	
$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^2, \mu \rangle$ where $\mu = \sigma^i$, or $\sigma^i \tau$	$\langle \tau^2, \mu \rangle$	$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^2, \mu^2 \rangle$	$\langle \tau^2, \mu^2 \rangle$	(1.a)
		$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^4, \mu \rangle$	$\langle \tau^4, \mu \rangle$	(1.b)
		$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^4, \mu \tau^2 \rangle$	$\langle \tau^4, \mu \tau^2 \rangle$	(1.c)
$(Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma^i \rangle$	$\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma^i \rangle$	$(Q \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma^{2i} \rangle$	$\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma^{2i} \rangle$	(2.a)
		$(Q \rtimes \langle \tau^2 \rangle) \rtimes \langle \sigma^i \rangle$	$\langle \tau^2 \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma^i \rangle$	(2.b)
		$Q \rtimes \langle \tau^2, \sigma^i \tau \rangle$	$\langle \tau^2, \sigma^i \tau \rangle$	(2.c)

TABLE 5. Possibilities for the proof of Proposition 5.13

space $V(2, q) = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$, we may take $B = \langle e_1 \rangle$, $B' = \langle e_2 \rangle$, $\tau : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1, \gamma x_2)$ for some primitive element γ of $\mathbf{GF}(q)$, and g to be the map $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (-x_2, x_1)$.

If G_B acts faithfully on B then Q is regular on B and $|B| = q$. Since G_B^B is 2-transitive it follows that $G \cap (\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle)$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma\mathbf{L}(1, q)$ which acts transitively on the set of nonzero elements of $\mathbf{GF}(q)$, in other words G is 3-transitive, and Line 7 of Table 3 holds. If this G -action had rank 3, then by property (C), we would have $|B|^2 = q^2$ dividing $|G_{B,B'}|$, which is not the case.

Assume now that G_B acts unfaithfully on B . Then, as Q is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G_B , $Q \leq G_{(B)}$. Thus either $|B| = m$, where m is an odd prime dividing $q - 1$ such that the order of $p \pmod{m}$ is $m - 1$, and $G_B^B \cong C_m \rtimes C_{m-1} \cong \mathbf{AGL}(1, m)$, or $|B| = 2$, $|G_B/Q|$ is even and $G_B^B = C_2$. Note that $|G_B/Q|$ even holds if and only if either $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, or $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $G \geq \mathbf{PGL}(2, q)$, or $|G/(G \cap \mathbf{PGL}(2, q))|$ is even. Thus so Line 8 or 9 of Table 3 holds.

Assume we are in the first case and that the G -action has rank 3. Then $G_{B,B'} = (\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle) \cap G$ has a unique transitive representation of degree m , contradicting (D). Hence the action does not have rank 3.

Assume now that we are in the second case, that is $|B| = 2$, and that the G -action has rank 3. Let $H = G \cap \mathbf{PGL}(2, q)$. Then either q is odd or $|G/H|$ is even, or both. By property (D), $G_{B,B'}$ has a normal subgroup K such that $G_{B,B'}/K \cong C_2 \times C_2$. In particular, the Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{B,B'}$ is not cyclic and hence both $q - 1$ and $|G/H|$ are even. Hence e is even, and so $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Note that $|G/H| = e/i$ for some divisor i of e such that e/i is even. More precisely, G_B , $G_{B,B'}$, $G_{(B)}$ and $G_{(B),B'}$ are as in one of the lines of Table 5. In lines (1.b), (1.c), (2.b), (2.c), there are additional conditions on p and i for the subgroup $G_{(B)}$ to have index 2 in G_B (the conditions we need will be described below).

If $G = \langle H, \mu \rangle$, where $\mu = \sigma^i$ or $\sigma^i \tau$ for some i dividing e , then $\langle H, \mu^2 \rangle$ is normal in G , and so, by property (F), $G_{(B)}$ cannot be equal to $\langle H, \mu^2 \rangle \cap G_B$. This eliminates cases (1.a) and (2.a).

In all the other cases, $G_{(B),B'} = \langle \tau^{2\ell}, \sigma^i \tau^k \rangle$ for some $k \in [0, 2\ell - 1]$ where $\ell = 2$ in cases (1.b) and (1.c), and $\ell = 1$ in cases (2.b) and (2.c). Recall that g interchanges B and B' , $\tau^g = \tau^{-1}$ and $\sigma^g = \sigma$. Thus $G_{(B'),B} = (G_{(B),B'})^g$ is generated by $(\tau^{2\ell})^g = \tau^{-2\ell}$ and $(\sigma^i \tau^k)^g = \sigma^i \tau^{-k}$. Obviously, we have $\langle \tau^{2\ell} \rangle = \langle (\tau^{2\ell})^g \rangle$. If either $k = 0$ or $k = \ell \in \{1, 2\}$, then $\sigma^i \tau^{-k} = (\sigma^i \tau^k) \tau^{-2k} \equiv \sigma^i \tau^k$ modulo $\langle \tau^{2\ell} \rangle$. It follows that $G_{(B'),B} = G_{(B),B'}$ and hence $G_{(B),B'}$ fixes $B \cup B'$ pointwise and property (A) fails.

Therefore we must have $\ell = 2$ and $k = 1$ or 3 , that is, $G = \langle \mathbf{PSL}(2, q), \sigma^i \tau \rangle$ and we are in case (1.b) or (1.c) respectively. We have $(\sigma^i \tau^j)^{(e/i)} = \tau^{jc}$, where $c = 1 + p^i + p^{2i} + \dots + p^{(e/i-1)i}$ is even since e/i is even, and hence τ^c is contained in $\langle \tau^2 \rangle \leq \mathbf{PSL}(2, q)$. Thus G does not contain $\mathbf{PGL}(2, q)$. We must have that $G_{(B)} = Q \rtimes \langle \tau^4, \sigma^i \tau^k \rangle$ has index 2 in $G_B = Q \rtimes \langle \tau^2, \sigma^i \tau \rangle$, and so $(\sigma^i \tau^k)^{(e/i)} = \tau^{kc}$

must be contained in $\langle \tau^4 \rangle$, that is, 4 must divide c . Since e/i is even, this happens exactly when $p^i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or $p^i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $e/i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Hence all the stated conditions are satisfied. Now assume G satisfies these conditions. Then $G_{(B),B'}$ has index 2 in $G_{B,B'}$, so $G_{B,B'}$ is transitive on B . Also $G_{(B'),B} = (G_{(B),B'})^g = \langle \tau^4, \sigma^i \tau^{4-k} \rangle \neq G_{(B),B'}$, which means that $G_{(B),B'}$ does not fix B' pointwise and hence is transitive on B' . Therefore property (A) is satisfied. Since $Q \leq G_{(B)}$, we have that $G_{(B)}$ is transitive on the blocks distinct from B , therefore property (B) is satisfied. By Lemma 5.3, these examples have indeed a rank 3 action.

Moreover, these two actions (with $k = 1$ and $k = 3$) are not isomorphic. If they were isomorphic, then we would have $Q \rtimes \langle \tau^4, \sigma^i \tau \rangle$ and $Q \rtimes \langle \tau^4, \sigma^i \tau^3 \rangle$ conjugate in G . Since property (B) is satisfied in both cases, the only block-stabiliser they are contained in is G_B , and so these subgroups would be conjugate in G_B . These two subgroups have index 2 (hence are normal) in G_B , and so cannot be conjugate in G_B . $\square$

We next consider the groups with socle $\text{PSL}(a, q)$ for $a > 2$, and describe in the following remark the model we are going to use. It is followed by a technical lemma.

Remark 5.14. Let $T = \text{PSL}(a, q) \leq G \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(a, q)$, with G acting on $\mathcal{B}$ of size $\frac{q^a-1}{q-1}$, where $q = p^e$ with p a prime and $e \geq 1$. Then G is a subgroup of $\text{PGL}(a, q) \rtimes \langle \sigma^i \rangle$, where σ is the Frobenius automorphism and i divides e . If $\mathbf{Z}$ is the set of scalar matrices in $\text{GL}(a, q)$, then the elements of $\text{PGL}(a, q)$ are the cosets $A\mathbf{Z}$, where A is a matrix in $\text{GL}(a, q)$. When convenient we will single out a representative for a coset. Let $K = \{x^a | x \in \text{GF}(q)^*\}$, a subgroup of $(\text{GF}(q)^*, \cdot)$ of order $(q-1)/(a, q-1)$. Then $\text{PSL}(a, q) = \{A\mathbf{Z} | \det(A) \in K\}$. We have $H := G \cap \text{PGL}(a, q) = \{A\mathbf{Z} | \det(A) \in F\}$, where F is a subgroup of $(\text{GF}(q)^*, \cdot)$ containing K . Let ω be a primitive element of $\text{GF}(q)$. Then $\text{GF}(q)^* = \langle \omega \rangle$ and $K = \langle \omega^{(a, q-1)} \rangle$. Let $d := \min\{j > 0 | \omega^j \in F\}$. Then d divides $(a, q-1)$ and $F = \langle \omega^d \rangle$, as $(\text{GF}(q)^*, \cdot)$ is cyclic. Notice that H has index d in $\text{PGL}(a, q)$.

Let τ be the diagonal $(a \times a)$ -matrix with $\tau_{jj} = 1$ for $j < a$ and $\tau_{aa} = \omega$. Then either $G = H$ or $G = \langle H, \sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z} \rangle$ for some $r \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ since $\tau^d \mathbf{Z} \in H$ and $\text{PGL}(a, q) = \langle H, \tau \mathbf{Z} \rangle$. We recall that i divides e . For $k \geq 1$, $(\sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z})^k = \sigma^{ki} \tau^{r(1+p^i+\dots+p^{(k-1)i})} \mathbf{Z}$, and so $(\sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z})^{e/i} = \tau^{r(1+p^i+\dots+p^{(e-i)})} \mathbf{Z} = \tau^{r \frac{q-1}{p^i-1}} \mathbf{Z}$. This element must be in H , and so its determinant must be in $\langle \omega^d \rangle$. Therefore we have that d divides $r \frac{q-1}{p^i-1}$.

We identify $\mathcal{B}$ with the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of the vector space $\text{GF}(q)^a$ of row vectors with standard basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_a$. Let $B = \langle e_1 \rangle$. Then H_B consists of the elements $A\mathbf{Z}$ of H such that $A_{1j} = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$. Each coset $A\mathbf{Z}$ contains a unique representative A with $A_{11} = 1$. More precisely, let $g_{\underline{b}, X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \underline{0}^T \\ \underline{b} & X \end{pmatrix}$, where $\underline{b}, \underline{0} \in \text{GF}(q)^{(a-1) \times 1}$ and $X \in \text{GL}(a-1, q)$. Then $H_B = \{g_{\underline{b}, X} \mathbf{Z} | \det(X) \in F\}$, and $G_B = \langle H_B, \sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z} \rangle$. Thus G_B is isomorphic to a subgroup between $\text{ASL}(a-1, q)$ and $\text{A}\Gamma\text{L}(a-1, q)$.

Lemma 5.15. *Let $Z = \langle y \rangle \cong C_t$, $t > 1$, and let n be a positive integer. Let $Z_0 = \langle y^s \rangle$, where s is a divisor of t . Then the number of $x \in Z$ such that $x^n \in Z_0$ is $t(n, s)/s$.*

Proof. Let $Z_1 = \langle y^n \rangle = \langle y^{(n, t)} \rangle$ of order $t/(n, t)$. Then $Z_1 \cap Z_0 = \langle y^u \rangle$ where $u = \text{lcm}\{s, (n, t)\} = \frac{s(n, t)}{(s, (n, t))} = \frac{s(n, t)}{(n, s)}$ since $s|t$. The map $\varphi : y^j \rightarrow y^{jn}$ is a homomorphism from Z to Z with image Z_1 and kernel $\langle y^{\frac{t}{(n, t)}} \rangle$ of order (n, t) . Thus each element

of Z_1 is the image of exactly (n, t) elements of Z under φ . Hence the number we seek is the number of elements $y^j \in Z$ such that $\varphi(y^j) \in Z_0 \cap Z_1$, and so is equal to $(n, t)|Z_0 \cap Z_1| = (n, t)\frac{t}{u} = (n, t)t \cdot \frac{(n, s)}{s(n, t)} = \frac{t(n, s)}{s}$. $\square$

Proposition 5.16. *Let G be an imprimitive permutation group on Ω with system of imprimitivity $\mathcal{B}$ such that $G \cong G^B$ is as in (h) of Theorem 5.1 for $a \geq 3$. Then G satisfies Hypothesis 1.1 if and only if G , n and $|B|$ are as in one of Lines 10 to 20 of Table 3, with column 5 giving additional conditions on G or $|B|$. Moreover, G has rank 3 on Ω if and only if one of the following holds :*

- (1) G satisfies the conditions on Line 12 of Table 3 and $(md, a) = d$.
- (2) $G = \text{PGL}(3, 4)$ or $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 4)$, $|B| = 6$, $G_B^B \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{PGL}(2, 5)$ respectively.
- (3) $G = \text{PSL}(3, 5)$, $|B| = 5$, $G_B^B \cong S_5$.
- (4) $G = \text{PSL}(5, 2)$, $|B| = 8$, $G_B^B \cong A_8$.
- (5) $G = \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 8)$, $|B| = 28$, $G_B^B \cong \text{Ree}(3)$.
- (6) $G = \text{PSL}(3, 2)$, $|B| = 2$, $G_B^B \cong C_2$.
- (7) $G = \text{PSL}(3, 3)$, $|B| = 3$, $G_B^B \cong S_3$.

Proof. Let $T = \text{PSL}(a, q) \leq G \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(a, q) = A$. We use the notation of Remark 5.14. Let B and B' , identified respectively with $\langle e_1 \rangle$ and $\langle e_2 \rangle$, be two blocks of $\mathcal{B}$. Let

$$h_{x, \underline{c}, \underline{d}, Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \underline{0}^T \\ 0 & x & \underline{0}^T \\ \underline{c} & \underline{d} & Y \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x \in \text{GF}(q)^*$, $\underline{c}, \underline{d}, \underline{0} \in \text{GF}(q)^{(a-2) \times 1}$ and $Y \in \text{GL}(a-2, q)$. Then $H_{B, B'}$ consists of the elements $h_{x, \underline{c}, \underline{d}, Y} \mathbf{Z}$ that lie in H , that is, those for which $x \det(Y) \in F$. The subgroup $\langle \sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z} \rangle$ is in $G_{B, B'}$, so $G_{B, B'} = \langle H_{B, B'}, \sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z} \rangle$. Let $g \in \text{SL}(a, q)$ such that $g_{12} = 1, g_{21} = -1, g_{jj} = 1$ for $j \geq 3$ and all other $g_{jk} = 0$. Then $g \mathbf{Z}$ is an element of H interchanging B and B' .

The subgroup $M = \{g_{\underline{b}, I} \mathbf{Z} | \underline{b} \in \text{GF}(q)^{(a-1) \times 1}\}$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of the stabiliser G_B . Suppose that G_B acts faithfully on B . The only 2-transitive action is affine, with $|B| = |M| = q^{a-1}$, as in Line 10 of Table 3, and for some $v \in B$, $G_v = \langle S, \tau^d \mathbf{Z}, \sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z} \rangle$ where $S = \{g_{\underline{0}, X} \mathbf{Z} | \det(X) = 1\}$. Notice that $\langle S, \tau^d \mathbf{Z} \rangle = \{g_{\underline{0}, X} \mathbf{Z} | \det(X) \in F\}$. From the description of $H_{B, B'}$, it follows that the index of $G_{B, B', v}$ in $G_{B, B'}$ is q^{a-2} , and so $G_{B, B'}$ is not transitive on B , contradicting property (A). So this G -action does not have rank 3.

Suppose now that G_B does not act faithfully on B , and so $M \leq G_{(B)}$. Notice that G_B/M is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Gamma\text{L}(a-1, q)$. Let $N = \langle S \rangle \cong \text{SL}(a-1, q)$, where S is as above. Then $MN \triangleleft G_B$.

Suppose first that $MN \leq G_{(B)}$.

Then G_B^B is isomorphic to a quotient of $\langle \tau^d \mathbf{Z}, \sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z} \rangle \leq \langle \tau \mathbf{Z}, \sigma^i \rangle \cong C_{q-1} \rtimes C_{e/i}$. Hence either $|B| = 2$, or $|B|$ is a prime dividing $(q-1)/d$.

Case (1): Here $|B| = 2$ and $G_{B, v} = G_{(B)} = \langle H_B, (\sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z})^2 \rangle$, where G/H has even order, as in Line 11 of Table 3. Then $G_v = G_B \cap \langle H, (\sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z})^2 \rangle$ and $\langle H, (\sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z})^2 \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of G . Thus property (F) fails, so this action does not have rank 3.

Case (2): Here $|B| = m$ is a prime dividing $(q-1)/d$ and $G_{B, v} = \langle M, N, \tau^{dm} \mathbf{Z}, \sigma^i \tau^{r+\lambda d} \mathbf{Z} \rangle$ for some $\lambda \in [0, m-1]$. In other words $H_{B, v}$ consists of the elements $g_{\underline{b}, X} \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\det(X) \in \langle \omega^{dm} \rangle$. In order for $G_{B, v}$ to be of index m in G_B , it is necessary that

$(\sigma^i \tau^{r+\lambda d} \mathbf{Z})^{e/i} \in \langle \tau^{dm} \mathbf{Z} \rangle$, that is $dm \mid (r + \lambda d) \frac{q-1}{p^i-1}$. Moreover, in order that G_B^B be 2-transitive, it is also needed that the order of p^i modulo m is $m-1$ (for $m=2$ that just means that p is odd). Thus Line 12 of Table 3 holds.

Since G_v contains MN , G_v induces a transitive action on $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{B\}$, so property (B) is satisfied. Since $\sigma^i \tau^{r+\lambda d} \mathbf{Z}$ fixes B, B', v and also v^g (note that $\tau^g = \tau$ and $\sigma^g = \sigma$), we have that $|G_{B,B'} : G_{B,B',v}| = |H_{B,B'} : H_{B,B',v}|$ and $|G_{B,B',v} : G_{B,B',v,v^g}| = |H_{B,B',v} : H_{B,B',v,v^g}|$. We have $H_{B,B',v} = \{h_{x,\underline{c},\underline{d},Y} \mathbf{Z} \mid x \det(Y) \in \langle \omega^{md} \rangle\}$, which has index m in $H_{B,B'}$, and so $G_{B,B'}$ is transitive on B . Therefore property (A) will be satisfied if and only if $G_{B,B',v}$ is transitive on B' , that is if and only if G_{B,B',v,v^g} has index m in $G_{B,B',v}$. We now compute this index, which we have seen is equal to $|H_{B,B',v} : H_{B,B',v,v^g}|$. We have $H_{B,B',v} = \{h_{x,\underline{c},\underline{d},Y} \mathbf{Z} \mid x \det(Y) \in \langle \omega^{md} \rangle\}$. Also $H_{B,B',v^g} = H_{B,B',v}^g = \{h_{1/x,(-1/x)\underline{d},(1/x)\underline{c},(1/x)Y} \mathbf{Z} \mid x \det(Y) \in \langle \omega^{md} \rangle\} = \{h_{x',\underline{c}',\underline{d}',Y'} \mathbf{Z} \mid x' \det(Y') \in \langle \omega^{md} \rangle\}$. Therefore $H_{B,B',v,v^g} = \{h_{x,\underline{c},\underline{d},Y} \mathbf{Z} \mid x \det(Y), x^a \in \langle \omega^{md} \rangle\}$. For a chosen x , the number of matrices Y such that $x \det(Y) \in \langle \omega^{md} \rangle$ does not depend on the choice of x . Say that number is k . The number of choices for $\underline{c}, \underline{d}$ also does not depend on x . Hence $|H_{B,B',v}| = (q-1)q^{2(a-2)}k$. For H_{B,B',v,v^g} the only allowable choices for x are the ones whose a^{th} power is in $\langle \omega^{md} \rangle$. By Lemma 5.15, the number of $x \in \langle \omega \rangle$ such that $x^a \in \langle \omega^{md} \rangle$ is $J = \frac{(q-1)(md,a)}{md}$. Thus $|H_{B,B',v,v^g}| = Jq^{2(a-2)}k$, and $|H_{B,B',v} : H_{B,B',v,v^g}| = (q-1)/J = \frac{md}{(md,a)}$. Therefore property (A) is satisfied if and only if $(md, a) = d$. Thus, by Lemma 5.3, this G -action has rank 3 if and only if $(md, a) = d$, as in (1) of the statement.

We now assume that $N \not\leq G_{(B)}$.

Let $C = \{g_{\underline{0},\nu I} \mathbf{Z} \mid \nu^{a-1} \in F\}$, where $F = \langle \omega^d \rangle$ as in Remark 5.14. By Lemma 5.15, the number of $\nu \in \langle \omega \rangle$ such that $\nu^{a-1} \in \langle \omega^d \rangle$ is $\frac{(q-1)(a-1,d)}{d}$. So $C \cong C_{\frac{q-1}{d}(a-1,d)}$. We claim that $C \leq G_{(B)}$.

Suppose to the contrary that $C^B \neq 1$. Then C^B is a cyclic normal subgroup of the 2-transitive group G_B^B , and hence $|C^B|$ is a prime p' and $G_B^B \leq \text{AGL}(1, p')$. Moreover C^B is self-centralising in G_B^B by Lemma 5.4. However $N \cong \text{SL}(a-1, q)$ centralizes C and by assumption $N^B \neq 1$, so $N^B \leq C^B$ is cyclic. Thus $\text{SL}(a-1, q)$ has a nontrivial cyclic quotient and hence $a=3$ and $q \leq 3$. Since p' divides $q-1$, it follows that $q=3$ and $p'=2$. However the only cyclic quotient of $N \cong \text{SL}(2, 3) = Q_8.C_3$ has order 3, not 2. This contradiction proves the claim.

Hence $C \leq G_{(B)}$, and so $MC \triangleleft G_{(B)}$. We have $\text{PSL}(a-1, q) \leq G_B/(MC) \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(a-1, q)$, and $G_B^B = G_B/G_{(B)}$ is isomorphic to $(G_B/(MC))/(G_{(B)}/(MC))$. If $(a-1, q) \neq (2, 2)$ or $(2, 3)$, then every nontrivial normal subgroup of $G_B/(MC)$ must contain $\text{PSL}(a-1, q)$. However, $(G_{(B)})/(MC)$ cannot contain $\text{PSL}(a-1, q)$, as $G_{(B)}$ does not contain N . Therefore $G_{(B)} = MC$ or $(a-1, q) = (2, 2)$ or $(2, 3)$.

Assume first that $G_{(B)} = MC$. Then $\text{PSL}(a-1, q) \leq G_B^B \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(a-1, q)$. Hence G_B^B has one natural 2-transitive action of degree $(q^{a-1}-1)/(q-1)$ if $a=3$ and two such actions otherwise (the actions on points and hyperplanes of the projective space), as on Line 13 of Table 3. For the action on points, we have $H_{B,v} = \{g_{\underline{b},X} \mathbf{Z} \mid \det(X) \in F, X_{1,i} = 0 \text{ for all } i > 1\}$ for some $v \in B$, and so $G_{B,v} = \langle H_{B,v}, \sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z} \rangle$ contains $G_{B,B'}$. Thus property (A) fails and this G -action does not have rank 3. If $a \geq 4$, there is also the action on hyperplanes, for which $H_{B,v} = \{g_{\underline{b},X} \mid \det(X) \in F, X_{i,1} = 0 \text{ for all } i > 1\}$ for some $v \in B$. Hence $H_{B,B',v} = \{h_{x,\underline{c},\underline{0},Y} \mathbf{Z} \mid x \det(Y) \in F\}$. Since $\sigma^i \tau^r \mathbf{Z}$ is in $G_{B,B',v}$ as well as in $G_{B,B'}$, we have $|G_{B,B'} : G_{B,B',v}| = |H_{B,B'} : H_{B,B',v}| = q^{a-2} \neq (q^{a-1}-1)/(q-1)$, and so $G_{B,B'}$

does not act transitively on B , and property (A) fails. Thus this G -action does not have rank 3 either.

Now we look at the other possible 2-transitive actions of G_B^B having simple socle $\text{PSL}(a-1, q)$. By Theorem 5.1, these occur for $(a, q, |B|) = (3, 4, 6), (3, 5, 5), (5, 2, 8), (3, 8, 28), (4, 2, 8), (3, 7, 7), (3, 9, 6)$, and $(3, 11, 11)$. We consider each of these below. For simplifying the proof we sometimes use an easily repeatable computation with Magma [4].

- (1) $T = \text{PSL}(3, 4)$. Here $H = T$ or $H = \text{PGL}(3, 4)$. In both cases $H_B/(MC) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 4) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$, which has a 2-transitive action on 6 points, as on Line 14 of Table 3. If $H = T$, then $|G_{B, B'}| = 48$ or 96 which is not divisible by 36, so property (C) fails. If $H = \text{PGL}(3, 4)$, that is, if $G = \text{PGL}(3, 4)$ or $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 4)$, then Magma confirms we have a rank 3 example, as in (2) of the statement, and $G_B^B \cong \text{PSL}(2, 5)$ or $\text{PGL}(2, 5)$ respectively.
- (2) $T = \text{PSL}(3, 5)$. Since there is no field automorphism and $(a, q-1) = 1$, we have $G = \text{PSL}(3, 5)$. Then $G_B/(MC) \cong \text{PGL}(2, 5) \cong S_5$, which has a 2-transitive action on 5 points, as on Line 15 of Table 3. Magma confirms this gives a rank 3 example, as in (3) of the statement.
- (3) $T = \text{PSL}(5, 2)$. Since there is no field automorphism and $(a, q-1) = 1$, we have $G = \text{PSL}(5, 2)$. Then $G_B/(MC) \cong \text{PGL}(4, 2) \cong A_8$, which has a 2-transitive action on 8 points, as on Line 16 of Table 3. Magma confirms this gives a rank 3 example, as in (4) of the statement.
- (4) $T = \text{PSL}(3, 8)$. Since $(a, q-1) = 1$, we have $d = 1$, $G_B/(MC) \geq H_B/(MC) \cong \text{PGL}(2, 8)$. If $G = \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(3, 8)$, then $G_B/(MC) \cong \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 8) \cong \text{Ree}(3)$, which has a 2-transitive action on 28 points, as on Line 17 of Table 3. Magma confirms this gives a rank 3 example, as in (5) of the statement.
- (5) $T = \text{PSL}(4, 2)$. Since there is no field automorphism and $(a, q-1) = 1$, we have $G = \text{PSL}(4, 2)$. Then $G_B/(MC) \cong \text{PGL}(3, 2) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 7)$, which has a 2-transitive action on 8 points, as in Line 18 of Table 3. However, $|G_{B, B'}| = 96$ which is not divisible by 64, hence property (C) fails. Thus this G -action does not have rank 3.
- (6) $T = \text{PSL}(3, 7)$, $G = T$ or $G = \text{PGL}(3, 7)$. In both cases $H_B/(MC) \cong \text{PGL}(2, 7)$ which does not have a 2-transitive action on 7 points, since the isomorphism between $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$ and $\text{PSL}(3, 2)$ does not extend to $\text{PGL}(2, 7)$.
- (7) $T = \text{PSL}(3, 9)$, $H = T$. Then $G_B/(MC) \geq H_B/(MC) \cong \text{PGL}(2, 9)$ which does not have a 2-transitive action on 6 points, since the isomorphism between $\text{PSL}(2, 9)$ and A_6 does not extend to $\text{PGL}(2, 9)$.
- (8) $T = \text{PSL}(3, 11)$, $G = T$. Then $G_B/(MC) \cong \text{PGL}(2, 11)$ which does not have a 2-transitive action on 11 points, since the action of $\text{PSL}(2, 11)$ on 11 points does not extend to $\text{PGL}(2, 11)$.

Finally assume that $(a-1, q) = (2, 2)$ or $(2, 3)$ and $MC < G_{(B)}$. We recall that we are also assuming $N \not\leq G_{(B)}$. Consider first $G = \text{PSL}(3, 2)$. Then C is trivial

and $G_B = M \rtimes N \cong 2^2 \rtimes S_3$. Hence $G_{(B)} = M \rtimes D$ where $D \leq N$ is isomorphic to C_3 . Then $|B| = 2$, as on Line 19 of Table 3. Magma confirms this gives a rank 3 example, as in (6) of the statement. Consider now $G = \text{PSL}(3, 3)$. Then $C \cong C_2$. As MN is the unique maximal subgroup of G_B , $MC < G_{(B)} < MN \cong 3^2 \rtimes \text{SL}(2, 3)$. Hence $G_{(B)} = M \rtimes D$ where $D \leq N$ is isomorphic to Q_8 . Then $G_B^B \cong S_3$ (since $N^B \neq 1$) and this has a 2-transitive action on 3 points, as on Line 20 of Table 3. We have that $G_{(B)}$ is transitive on the 12 other blocks (property (B)). Moreover $|G_{(B), B'}| = 6$ and $|G_{(B), (B')}| = 1$, and so $G_{(B), B'}^{B'} \cong S_3$, and (A) is satisfied. Hence this G -action has rank 3, as in (7) of the statement. This completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] John Bamberg, Geoffrey Pearce, and Cheryl E. Praeger. Transitive decompositions of graph products: rank 3 grid type. *J. Group Theory*, 11(2), 185–228 (2008).
- [2] E. Bannai. *Maximal subgroups of low rank of finite symmetric and alternating groups*. J. Fac. Sci. univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA 18, 475–486 (1972).
- [3] Meenaxi Bhattacharjee, Dugald Macpherson, Rgnvaldur G. Möller, and Peter M. Neumann. Notes on infinite permutation groups. Texts and Readings in Mathematics, 12. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1698. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi; co-published by Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symb. Comp.* 24 3/4 (1997) 235–265. Also see the MAGMA home page at <http://www.maths.usyd.edu.au:8000/u/magma/>.
- [5] W. Burnside. *Theory of groups of finite order*. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1955. 2d ed.
- [6] Peter J. Cameron. *Permutation groups*, volume 45 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [7] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson. *Atlas of finite groups*. Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups, With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray.
- [8] U. Dempwolff. Primitive rank 3 groups on symmetric designs. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 22(2), 191–207 (2001).
- [9] U. Dempwolff. Affine rank 3 groups on symmetric designs. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 31(2), 159–168 (2004).
- [10] U. Dempwolff. Symmetric rank 3 designs with regular, elementary abelian, normal subgroups. In *Finite geometries, groups, and computation*, 67–73. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2006.
- [11] Alice Devillers. A classification of finite partial linear spaces with a rank 3 automorphism group of grid type. *European J. Combin.*, 29(1), 268–272 (2008).
- [12] Alice Devillers. A classification of finite partial linear spaces with a primitive rank 3 automorphism group of almost simple type. *Innov. Incidence Geom.*, 2, 129–175 (2005).
- [13] Alice Devillers and J. I. Hall. Rank 3 Latin square designs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 113(5), 894–902 (2006).
- [14] A. Devillers, M. Giudici, C. H. Li and C. E. Praeger, Locally s -distance transitive graphs, submitted.
- [15] John D. Dixon and Brian Mortimer. *Permutation groups*, volume 163 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [16] Chris D. Godsil, Robert A. Liebler, and Cheryl E. Praeger, Antipodal distance transitive covers of complete graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 19(4), 455–478 (1998).
- [17] Donald G. Higman, Finite permutation groups of rank 3. *Math. Z.* 86, 145–156 (1964).
- [18] William M. Kantor, Robert A. Liebler The rank 3 permutation representations of the finite classical groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 271(1), 1–71 (1982).
- [19] Gregor Kemper, Frank Lübeck and Kay Magaard, Matrix generators for the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$. *Comm. Algebra* 29, 407–413 (2001).
- [20] Martin W. Liebeck. The affine permutation groups of rank three. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 54(3), 477–516 (1987).

- [21] Martin W. Liebeck and Jan Saxl. The finite primitive permutation groups of rank three. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 18(2), 165–172 (1986).
- [22] Brian Mortimer, The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 41, 1–20 (1980).
- [23] Michael E. O’Nan, Automorphisms of unitary block designs. *J. Algebra*, 20, 495–511 (1972).
- [24] Cheryl E. Praeger and Geoffrey Pearce, Rank 3 transitive decompositions of complete multipartite graphs, submitted.
- [25] Rimhak Ree, A family of simple groups associated with the simple Lie algebra of type (G_2) . *Amer. J. Math.* 83, 432–462 (1961).
- [26] Michio Suzuki, On a class of doubly transitive groups, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 75, 105–145 (1962).
- [27] Harold N. Ward, On Ree’s series of simple groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 121, 62–89 (1966).

CENTRE FOR THE MATHEMATICS OF SYMMETRY AND COMPUTATION, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA, 35 STIRLING HIGHWAY, PERTH WA 6009, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `alice.devillers@uwa.edu.au`, `michael.giudici@uwa.edu.au`, `cai.heng.li@uwa.edu.au`, `geoffrey.pearce@graduate.uwa.edu.au`, `cheryl.praeger@uwa.edu.au`